

1 2

P.O. Box 1388

(928) 226-8333

3

4 5

6 7

8

10 11

12

14

13

15 16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

29

GLIEGE LAW OFFICES, PLLC Flagstaff, AZ 86002-1388

John G. Gliege (#003644) Stephanie J. Gliege (#022465) Attorneys for the Complainants

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

1

RAYMOND R. PUGEL AND JULIE B. PUGEL, husband and wife as trustees of THE RAYMOND R. PUGEL and JULIE B. PUGEL **FAMILY TRUST,** and

ROBERT RANDALL and SALLY RANDALL, husband and wife

Complainants,

PINE WATER COMPANY, an Arizona Corporation

Respondent..

ASSET TRUST MANAGEMENT, CORP. Complainants,

PINE WATER COMPANY, an Arizona Corporation

Respondent.

JAMES HILL and SIOUX HILL, husband and wife and as trustees of THE HILL FAMILY TRUST,

Complainants,

PINE WATER COMPANY, an Arizona Corporation

Respondent.

DOCKET NO. W-03512A-06-0407

NOTICE OF FILING REBUTTAL **TESTIMONY**

DOCKET NO.W-03512A-06-0613

DOCKET NO. W-03512A-07-0100

Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED

JAN 2 5 2008

DOCKETED BY NB 32e

BRENT WEEKES,
Complainants,
v.
PINE WATER COMPANY, an Arizona
Corporation
Respondent.

DOCKET NO. W-03512A-07-0019

Complainants, RAYMOND R. PUGEL AND JULIE B. PUGEL, as trustees of THE RAYMOND R. PUGEL and JULIE B. PUGEL FAMILY TRUST, and ROBERT RANDALL and SALLY RANDALL, ASSET TRUST MANAGEMENT, and BRENT WEEKES, hereby submit the Notice of Filing Rebuttal Testimony in this referenced matter. Attached hereto as Exhibit A is the Rebuttal Testimony of Loren Peterson.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 23rd day of January, 2008.

GLIEGE LAW OFFICES, PLLC

/s/ John G. Gliege
John G. Gliege
Attorney for Complainants,
Pugel et al., Asset Trust Management, and Brent Weekes

1	Original and 19 copies mailed/delivered
2	This 23 rd day of January, 2008 to:
3	Arizona Corporation Commission Attn: Docket Control
4	1200 W. Washington Phoenix, AZ 85007
5	Copies of the foregoing mailed/delivered
6	This 23 rd day of January, 2008 to:
7	Kevin O. Torrey Attorney, Legal Division
8	Arizona Corporation Commission
9	1200 W. Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007
10	Christopher Kempley, Chief Counsel Legal Division
11	Arizona Corporation Commission
12	1200 W. Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007
13	Ernest G. Johnson, Director
14	Utilities Division Arizona Corporation Commission
15	1200 W. Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007
16	Jay L. Shapiro
17	Fennemore Craig
18	3003 North Central Ave. Ste 2600 Phoenix, AZ 85012-2913
19	David W. Davis, ESQ.
20	Turley, Swan & Childers, P.C. 3101 N. Central, Suite 1300
21	Phoenix, AZ 85012-2643
22	Robert M. Cassaro PO Box 1522
23	Pine, AZ 85544
24	William F. Haney 3018 E. Mallory St.
25	Mesa, AZ 85213
26	Barbara Hall PO Box 2198
27	Pine, AZ 85544
	1

EXHIBIT A

Rebuttal Testimony

Of

Loren Peterson

.

TESTIMONY OF LOREN PETERSON

Question: State your name

Answer: Loren Peterson

Q: Where do you reside?

A: Strawberry Hollow, Arizona

Q: Are you involved in the SH3 well located in Strawberry Hollow?

A: Yes, I am a manager of SH3 LLC which owns the well

Q: Have you ever entered into negotiations with Pine Water Company or Mr. Robert Hardcastle regarding purchasing water from the SH3 well?

A: Yes

Q: Are you familiar with the testimony which Mr. Hardcastle has given concerning the SH 3 well and the negotiations with you in this matter before the Arizona Corporation Commission?

A: Yes, I have been in attendance at a number of the hearings and have reviewed the testimony of Mr. Hardcastle, more particularly those statements set forth in the following places:

Volume	Page(s)	Lines	<u>Statements</u>
V	988	5-8	Q. In your view, Mr. Hardcastle, why did the
			negotiations to purchase water from SH3 end?
			A. Well, the negotiations ended because Mr.
			Peterson called the negotiations off
$\ _{\mathrm{VI}}$	1353	22-25	Q. And the possibility of purchasing water

1 2

Volume	Page(s)	Lines	Statements
			from the Peterson well, that fell apart because
			those negotiations ended, correct?
			A. Mr. Peterson ended those negotiations.
VI	1354	1-22	Q. And you don't feel that you had any
			participation in the termination of those
			negotiations?
			A. Well, I certainly, I certainly
			participated in the negotiations. I was not
			the decision maker in terminating the
			negotiations.
			Q. And if the opportunity presented itself
			to reopen those negotiations, would you pursue
			that?
			A. Yes.
			Q. Have you approached Mr. Peterson and
			indicated that to him?
			A. Not formally, no.
			Q. Informally?
			A. Mr. Peterson and I have just had very

1	<u>Volume</u>	Page(s)	Lines	<u>Statements</u>
2				brief discussions. And, frankly, we have
3	ļ			usually had pretty reasonable business
4				discussions. So I certainly entertain that
5				possibility if Mr. Pugel had some interest in
7				that.
8				
9				Q. But at the point where he indicated to
10				you he was no longer interested in pursuing the
11				negotiations, you just shut off that avenue and
12				pursued it no further?
13				A. I think Mr. Peterson's decision implied
4				to me in that regard was pretty emphatic.
15	7.777	1 470	1	1 DV MD GT TECH
16	VII	1478	1-	1 BY MR. GLIEGE:
17		1480	22	Q. As of today, is Pine Water still
8				interested in the possibility of obtaining
9				water from the SH3 Well?
0			;	A. Mr. Gliege, I believe you asked me that
1				question this morning. And I think I responded
2				that if Mr. Peterson had a change of heart and
23				he had some interest in an interconnection
24				agreement that was good for him and good for
.5				us, would we be interested in talking with him.
6				I think I replied yes.
7				
.8 .9				Q. And if Mr. Peterson proposed another

interested in acquiring water from him? A. Well, clearly obviously it depends of what the agreement provided for. Q. Okay. Is it the preference of Pine Water Company to dictate the terms and condition under which it acquires water? A. No. A. Mr. Gliege, we proposed a water sharing agreement to Mr. Peterson. Q. And that was rejected, was it not? A. It was. Q. And you don't know why? A. Well, Mr. Peterson outlined a lot of issues and a lot of problems he had with the agreement. And he expressed a very cleating into such an agreement. Q. And once he did that you stopped all progress on this? A. I think you asked me that question as	Volume	Page(s)	Lines	<u>Statements</u>
interested in acquiring water from him? A. Well, clearly obviously it depends of what the agreement provided for. Q. Okay. Is it the preference of Pine Water Company to dictate the terms and condition under which it acquires water? A. No. A. Mr. Gliege, we proposed a water sharin agreement to Mr. Peterson. Q. And that was rejected, was it not? A. It was. Q. And you don't know why? A. Well, Mr. Peterson outlined a lot of issues and a lot of problems he had with the agreement. And he expressed a very cleat interest that he wasn't interested in entering into such an agreement. Q. And once he did that you stopped all progress on this? A. I think you asked me that question as				agreement as he previously did, would you be
A. Well, clearly obviously it depends of what the agreement provided for. Q. Okay. Is it the preference of Pine Wate Company to dictate the terms and condition under which it acquires water? A. No. A. Mr. Gliege, we proposed a water sharin agreement to Mr. Peterson. Q. And that was rejected, was it not? A. It was. Q. And you don't know why? A. Well, Mr. Peterson outlined a lot of issues and a lot of problems he had with the agreement. And he expressed a very clear interest that he wasn't interested in entering into such an agreement. Q. And once he did that you stopped all progress on this? A. I think you asked me that question as				interested in acquiring water from him?
what the agreement provided for. Q. Okay. Is it the preference of Pine Wate Company to dictate the terms and condition under which it acquires water? A. No A. Mr. Gliege, we proposed a water sharin agreement to Mr. Peterson. Q. And that was rejected, was it not? A. It was. Q. And you don't know why? A. Well, Mr. Peterson outlined a lot o issues and a lot of problems he had with th agreement. And he expressed a very clea interest that he wasn't interested in enterin into such an agreement. Q. And once he did that you stopped al progress on this? A. I think you asked me that question a	-			A. Well, clearly obviously it depends on
Q. Okay. Is it the preference of Pine Water Company to dictate the terms and condition under which it acquires water? A. No A. Mr. Gliege, we proposed a water sharin agreement to Mr. Peterson. Q. And that was rejected, was it not? A. It was. Q. And you don't know why? A. Well, Mr. Peterson outlined a lot of issues and a lot of problems he had with th agreement. And he expressed a very cleat interest that he wasn't interested in enterin into such an agreement. Q. And once he did that you stopped all progress on this? A. I think you asked me that question a				what the agreement provided for.
Company to dictate the terms and condition under which it acquires water? A. No. A. Mr. Gliege, we proposed a water sharin agreement to Mr. Peterson. Q. And that was rejected, was it not? A. It was. Q. And you don't know why? A. Well, Mr. Peterson outlined a lot of issues and a lot of problems he had with the agreement. And he expressed a very cleatinterest that he wasn't interested in entering into such an agreement. Q. And once he did that you stopped all progress on this? A. I think you asked me that question as				Q. Okay. Is it the preference of Pine Water
under which it acquires water? A. No. A. Mr. Gliege, we proposed a water sharin agreement to Mr. Peterson. Q. And that was rejected, was it not? A. It was. Q. And you don't know why? A. Well, Mr. Peterson outlined a lot of issues and a lot of problems he had with the agreement. And he expressed a very cleatinterest that he wasn't interested in entering into such an agreement. Q. And once he did that you stopped all progress on this? A. I think you asked me that question as				Company to dictate the terms and conditions
A. No. A. Mr. Gliege, we proposed a water sharin agreement to Mr. Peterson. Q. And that was rejected, was it not? A. It was. Q. And you don't know why? A. Well, Mr. Peterson outlined a lot of issues and a lot of problems he had with the agreement. And he expressed a very cleat interest that he wasn't interested in enterind into such an agreement. Q. And once he did that you stopped all progress on this? A. I think you asked me that question as				under which it acquires water?
A. Mr. Gliege, we proposed a water sharin agreement to Mr. Peterson. Q. And that was rejected, was it not? A. It was. Q. And you don't know why? A. Well, Mr. Peterson outlined a lot of issues and a lot of problems he had with the agreement. And he expressed a very cleatinterest that he wasn't interested in enterint into such an agreement. Q. And once he did that you stopped all progress on this? A. I think you asked me that question a				A. No.
Q. And that was rejected, was it not? A. It was. Q. And you don't know why? A. Well, Mr. Peterson outlined a lot of issues and a lot of problems he had with the agreement. And he expressed a very cleatinterest that he wasn't interested in entering into such an agreement. Q. And once he did that you stopped all progress on this? A. I think you asked me that question a				
Q. And that was rejected, was it not? A. It was. Q. And you don't know why? A. Well, Mr. Peterson outlined a lot of issues and a lot of problems he had with the agreement. And he expressed a very clear interest that he wasn't interested in entering into such an agreement. Q. And once he did that you stopped all progress on this? A. I think you asked me that question a				A. Mr. Gliege, we proposed a water sharing
A. It was. Q. And you don't know why? A. Well, Mr. Peterson outlined a lot of issues and a lot of problems he had with the agreement. And he expressed a very cleat interest that he wasn't interested in entering into such an agreement. Q. And once he did that you stopped all progress on this? A. I think you asked me that question as				agreement to Mr. Peterson.
A. It was. Q. And you don't know why? A. Well, Mr. Peterson outlined a lot of issues and a lot of problems he had with the agreement. And he expressed a very cleat interest that he wasn't interested in entering into such an agreement. Q. And once he did that you stopped all progress on this? A. I think you asked me that question as				
Q. And you don't know why? A. Well, Mr. Peterson outlined a lot of issues and a lot of problems he had with the agreement. And he expressed a very cleat interest that he wasn't interested in entering into such an agreement. Q. And once he did that you stopped all progress on this? A. I think you asked me that question as				Q. And that was rejected, was it not?
A. Well, Mr. Peterson outlined a lot of issues and a lot of problems he had with the agreement. And he expressed a very cleatinterest that he wasn't interested in entering into such an agreement. Q. And once he did that you stopped all progress on this? A. I think you asked me that question a				A. It was.
A. Well, Mr. Peterson outlined a lot of issues and a lot of problems he had with the agreement. And he expressed a very cleat interest that he wasn't interested in entering into such an agreement. Q. And once he did that you stopped all progress on this? A. I think you asked me that question a				O And you don't know why?
issues and a lot of problems he had with the agreement. And he expressed a very clear interest that he wasn't interested in entering into such an agreement. Q. And once he did that you stopped all progress on this? A. I think you asked me that question as				
agreement. And he expressed a very clear interest that he wasn't interested in entering into such an agreement. Q. And once he did that you stopped all progress on this? A. I think you asked me that question as				·
interest that he wasn't interested in entering into such an agreement. Q. And once he did that you stopped all progress on this? A. I think you asked me that question a				-
into such an agreement. Q. And once he did that you stopped all progress on this? A. I think you asked me that question a				
Q. And once he did that you stopped al progress on this? A. I think you asked me that question a				
progress on this? A. I think you asked me that question a				
A. I think you asked me that question a				Q. And once he did that you stopped all
				progress on this?
				A. I think you asked me that question as
				well before, and I think I indicated no. I

Volume	Page(s)	Lines	<u>Statements</u>
			think we had some ongoing subsequent
			discussion, despite the fact that we, we shared
			a, we shared a concern over each other's
			agreements.
			Q. So the problem appears to be one in the
			formulation of an appropriate agreement between
			Pine Water Company and SH3?
			A. Mr. Gliege, I don't know that. I don't
			know that that is the case. I know that Mr.
			Peterson called off the agreement. He
			terminated the negotiations and expressed a
			very clear desire that he did not want to
			proceed. Now, exactly why he did not want to
1			do that, that's up to him.
VIII	1589	1-3	Q. And what was Mr. Peterson's stated reason?
			A. He indicated that I seem to be disinterested in selling the water companies.
VIII	1690	19-	19 Q. Okay. Earlier you testified that you and Mr. Peterson attempted to negotiate the
	1691	10	sale of water from the SH3 Well, correct? A. That's correct.
			Q. And those negotiations stopped?
			A. That's correct.
			Q. Was there a disagreement over the form of agreement to be used to acquire water from the SH3 Well?
		1	DIIO MCII:

Volume	Page(s)	Lines	Statements
			A. Well, we, both parties disagreed with the other parties' agreement proposal. And I thought at that time we were working towards a subsequent third agreement draft that we would hopefully be able to ultimately agree to.
			Q. But that didn't happen when the negotiations ceased? A. No. Mr. Peterson called those off before that

Q: Do you agree with Mr. Hardcastle's Testimony?

A: No

Q: In what respect is Mr. Hardcastle's testimony not correct?

A: A substantial portion of Mr. Hardcastle's testimony is biased and does not clearly represent what happened. There are a number of issues being raised:

- 1. That Loren Peterson terminated the negotiations for some unknown reason.
- 2. That Loren Peterson terminated the negotiations because Hardcastle would not sell the water companies.
- 3. That the parties proposed different agreements and that they were working on coming together.

In addressing these issues I have to say that:

- 1. The negotiations were terminated for the following reasons:
 - a. Mr. Hardcastle was not willing to participate in negotiations, instead informing me that we had to use his form of Water Sharing Agreement. I had proposed an Agreement and he essentially modified it to be like his proposed agreements.
 - b. During the time the negotiations were underway Pine Water Company acquired materials and began to construct the connection, trespassing on the property of the SH3 well without our knowledge and consent.
- 2. The negotiations regarding the purchase of water from the SH3 well were not terminated because Mr. Hardcastle would not sell the water companies.
- 3. The parties did propose different agreements, however, Pine Water Company was not wiling to negotiate changes in its standard form of agreement

Essentially Mr. Hardcastle and Pine Water Company were trying to bully us into an agreement by forcing their version of the agreement upon us and constructing the connection. We were concerned that Pine Water Company would tap into the SH3 well water lines without our knowledge or consent and that such action on their part would work to our disadvantage, so we terminated the negotiations with Pine Water Company to protect our private property interests and to prevent anymore unauthorized activities on our land or affecting the SH3 well.

Q: Does this conclude your testimony?

A: Yes it does.